

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67581 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-309 Year-2023 Thana- CHHATAUNI District- East Champaran

Md. Zahid Hussain Son Of Md. Taiyyab Hussain R/O Village- Runi Ward No
03, Ps Runisaidpur, Dist Sitamarhi, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishal Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

4 10-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with
Chhatauni P.S Case No. 309 of 2023 from the Court of
learned Sessions Judge/Special Judge, East Champaran,
Motihari registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 414, 420, 468, 471, 489(b), 489(c), 120 (b) of I.P.C
and Section 20(b) (ii) C/22 of the N.D.P.S Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, on searching the
bag of accused Md. Naruddin, two bundles of counterfeit
currency of rupees 500-500 notes, one bundle of 111 pieces
of counterfeit currency bearing its serial No. 7SE 434518



and another bundle of 110 pieces of currency bearing its serial No. 1CH 993250 and 530 gram Charas wrapped in plastic tape were recovered. From another bag, which was kept on back seat of driver Md. Jahid Hussain two bundles of counterfeit currency of rupees 500-500 note, one bundle of 109 piece of counterfeit currency bearing its serial No. 7SE 434516 and another bundle of 112 pieces of counterfeit currency bearing its serial No. 5RT 441517 and 510 gram Charas wrapped in plastic tape were recovered. From both apprehended persons, total counterfeit currency of Rs. 2,21,000/- and total 1.40 Kilogram Charas were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that petitioner is in judicial custody since 06.07.2023 and petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the petition.

5. However, learned APP for the State oppose the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. In view of seriousness of allegation as regard to recovery of counterfeit currency and Charas which is much more than commercial quantity, from the conscious



possession of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence, prayer of regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Mayank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

