

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66952 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-182 Year-2024 Thana- GOPALGANJ TOWN District-
Gopalganj

=====

Noor Mohammad Son of Bulli Miya @ Bhulli Miya R/o Village- Sadhu
Chowk near Puja Nursery, Gopalganj, P.S.- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rina Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 11-11-2024 1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail,
arises out of Gopalganj PS case no. 182 of 2024, disclosing
offences punishable under Sections 3/7(2)(c) of Immoral Traffic
Prevention Act.
3. The prosecution story, as per the First Information
Report, is that on secret information that prostitution business is
going on in the house of Umaratan Khatoon, the informant
along with police party reached there, they found three ladies
and three gents in objectionable condition, out of which one
male fled away. In presence of independent witnesses,
apprehended persons disclosed their names as Umaratan
Khatoon, Munni Devi, Puja Devi, M.D. Nawaz, Ashgar Ali and
Bhuttu Kuraishi. It has been alleged that the apprehended



persons disclosed that they are sex workers and petitioner and his mother Umaratan Khatoon run the prostitution business by calling the boys and girls in their house. It has further been alleged that the petitioner managed to escape from the place of occurrence at the time of police raid.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to the fact that petitioner is son of co-accused Umaratan Khatoon, however he is living separately from her mother. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged occurrence.

5. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the fact that the name of the petitioner has been disclosed by the arrested persons and the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of similar nature of offence, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. This application is, accordingly, rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

