

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11099 of 2014

Md. Taslim son of Late Abdul Aziz, Resident of Village- Parsa, P.S.-
Purushottampur, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Rural Development, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran
3. The Additional District Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Narkatiaganj, West Champaran
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Narkatiaganj, West Champaran
6. The Circle Officer, Mainatand, District- West Champaran
7. The Officer-in-Charge, Mainatand Police Station, West Champaran
8. The Circle Inspector, Mainatand, District- West Champaran
9. The Anchal Amin, Mainatand, District- West Champaran
10. The Revenue Karmchari, Mainatand, District- West Champaran
11. The Executive Engineer, R.E.O.-2, Bettiha, District- West Champaran
12. Md. Nasim, Son of Late Sk. Khalil, Resident of Village- Parsa, P.S.-
Purushottampur, District- West Champaran

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Visal Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Manish Kumar, AC to AAG-6 (In-Charge AAG-5)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

"1. That this is an application praying for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, or any other appropriate Writ order, direction commanding the Respondents, particularly the Respondent No. 6 to forthwith decide and dispose of the representation of the Petitioner dated 05.02.2014 (Annexure-12). The Petitioner further prays for a consequential Writ of



Mandamus commanding the Respondent No. 6 to ensure that the encroachment on public land pertaining to Khata No. 133, Khesra No. 679, Thana No. 297, at Village- Bahurwa, District- West Champaran, made by the Respondent No. 12 is removed forthwith in view of the written undertaking given by the Respondent No. 12, by his letter dated 01.10.2013 to the Respondent No. 6.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner has died during the interregnum period, his son be granted liberty to agitate his grievances regarding removal of the encroachment in question by taking recourse to such remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. According, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

