

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12808 of 2023

=====

Shyam Nandan Mahto S/O Sugrim Mahto Resident of Village-Patut, P.S.-
Raniyatalab, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforma Department,
Government of Bihar.
3. The Director, Land Acquisition, Government of Bihar
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ambarish Kumar, Advocate Mr. Sanket Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sajid Salim Khan (SC-25)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:-

*“i. For that to issue Writ in the nature
of certiorari for quashing the order dated
10.05.2023 and 09.06.2023 passed by
District Land Acquisition officer, Patna in
L.A case No. 35/2020-21 with regard to land
bearing Khata No. 316, Plot No. 196, Area
-1.28 Acre.*

*ii. For that to issue a Writ in the nature
of mandamus directing the respondent to*



*refer the matter before Land Acquisition
Rehabilitation and Resettlement Authority
Patna under section 76 of the New Act 2013.*

*iii. For that to issue any other relief or
reliefs for which the petitioner is found to be
entitled in the fact and circumstances of the
case.”*

3. A perusal of the order sheet would show that the persons whose name has come in the order dated 10.05.2023 passed in order have deliberately not been made party respondent.

4. This case is fit to be dismissed with cost but since, learned counsel for the petitioner is a new comer, granting liberty to approach appropriate authority, if the petitioner feels aggrieved, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

