

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13810 of 2024

Jayprakash Yadav Son of Kokay Yadav, Resident of Village-Khunt, P.O.-
Koriyapatti, P.S.-Jadiya, District-Supaul, Bihar-852214.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State University Service Commission through its Chairman, 8th Floor, School Examination Board Academic Building, Buddha Marg, Patna-800001.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State University Service Commission, 8th Floor, School Examination Board Academic Building, Buddha Marg, Patna-800001.
3. The Secretary, Bihar State University Service Commission, 8th Floor, School Examination Board Academic Building, Buddha Marg, Patna-800001.
4. The Aryabhatta Knowledge University Patna through the Registrar, the Administrative Block, Mithapur, Patna, Bihar-800001.
5. The Vice-Chancellor, Aryabhatta Knowledge University, Patna, the the Administrative Block, Mithapur, Patna, Bihar-800001.
6. The Registrar, Aryabhatta Knowledge University, the Administrative Block, Mithapur, Patna, Bihar-800001.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate
For BSUSC	:	Mr. Harsh Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2024 1. Similar issue has been decided against the petitioner and similarly situated aspirants seeking selection as “Assistant Professor” in different subjects advertised by the Bihar State University Service Commission [for short “Commission”] by the Division Bench of this Court in favour of the Commission / respondents.

2. The Division Bench has held that judgment / order passed



in CWJC No. 4925 of 2022 cannot be relied upon by the petitioner inasmuch as the petitioners therein diligently approached the Court and obtained orders for acceptance of their experience certificate which is confined to the petitioners therein. The order passed in CWJC No. 4952 / 2022 can not revive a stale claim. Accordingly, the order / judgment has been set aside by the Division Bench in LPA No. 653 of 2024 arising out of CWJC No. 16795 / 2023 by order dated 06.09.2024.

3. Mr. Kumar Kaushik, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner accepts this fact that LPA No. 653 / 2024 has been decided against the persons having identical issue in the writ application akin to that of the writ petitioner herein.

4. Accordingly, I do not find any merit in this application. The same stands dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

