

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13289 of 2023

=====

Anshu Kumari wife of Sri Rajeev Kumar Mukhia, Resident of village Parihari Panchayat, ward no. 01, Police Station Raniganj District Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Purnia.
3. The District Magistrate, Araria.
4. The District Development Project, Child Development Project, Araria within the District of Araria.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Raniganj within the District of Araria.
6. The Mukhia, Parihari Panchayat, ward no. 01, Police Station Raniganj District Araria.
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Parihari Panchayat, ward no. 01, Police Station Raniganj District Araria.
8. Rubi Devi wife of Sri Mithun Mukhia, resident of village Parihari Panchayat, ward no. 01, Police Station Raniganj District Araria.
9. Bindu Devi wife of Sri Sanjeet Kumar, resident of village Parihari Panchayat, ward no. 01, Police Station Raniganj District Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rama Nand Poddar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.S.K. Mandal (SC-3)
Mrs. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

“1.i. For quashing the entire selection process of the Respondent no. 9- Bindu Devi wife of Sri Sanjeet Kumar, resident of village Parihari Panchayat, ward no. 01, Police Station-Raniganj District-Araria for the post of Aaganbari Sevika under the Gram



Panchayat Parihari within Raniganj Block of Araria District.

ii. For issuance of a direction to the respondent authority to appoint the petitioner on the aforementioned post in accordance with the law.

iii. For issuance of a direction to the respondent authority to stay the operation of the impugned selection process of the Respondent no. 9- Bindu Devi.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

