

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12783 of 2023

Daizy Devi @ Deji Kumari Wife of Sadanand Mandal Resident of Village-Sonu Tola Kachariya, P.S.-Pirpaiti, District-Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner.
2. The Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
3. The Distirct Program Officer (I.C.D.S.), Bhagalpur.
4. Child development Program Officer, C.D.P.O., Pirpaiti, Bhagalpur.
5. Ladies Supervisor, Ward No.-03, Srimatpur Gopalichak, Aganwadi Center no.-352, Pirpaiti, Bhagalpur
6. Juli Devi Wife of Janardhan Mandal Resident of Village-Sonu Tola, Kachariya, P.S.-Pirpaiti, District-Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Deepak Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Raisul Haque (Sc 10)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1(I) To quash the order dated:- 12.09.2022 passed by the Learned Court of the Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur vide Misc. (Aganwadi Revision No. 53 /2020-21) by which Learned Court of Commissioner has reversed the order of District Programme Officer, Bhagalpur Which is in favour of Petitioner,meaning while direction was made to appoint the petitioner to the post of Anganwadi Sewika but learned court of commissioner,Bhagalpur has Dismissed.



*The revision case of Juli Devi and finally
Disposed of the case of direction to
appoint the aforesaid post a fresh after
cancelling the appointment of Juli Devi
and petitioner both.*

*(II) To further command and direct the
respondent after quashing the aforesaid
order of the Learned court of commissioner
with regard to appointment of Anganbadi
sewika center no 237,Block:-
Pirpaiti,Panchayat Srimatpur,Gopalichawk,
Ward no 03 at the district:- Bhagalpur to
appoint the petitioner at the said post as
per the order of District program officer
Bhagalpur.”*

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of her aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

