

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13556 of 2024**

=====

Shambhu Prasad Singh Son of Late Tarak Prasad Singh @ Tarak Singh @  
Tarak Nath Mandal, Resident of Village- Kolhatha, P.S.- Barahat, District-  
Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, State of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, State of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, State of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Bihar Special Survey and Settlement, State of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Budh Marg, Patna.
6. The Principal Secretary, Industry Department, 2nd floor, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 15.
7. The Collector, Banka, District- Banka.
8. The District Settlement Officer, Banka, District- Banka.
9. The Anchal Adhikari, Anchal- Barahat, District- Banka.
10. The Assistant Survey Settlement Officer, Bihar Special Survey Barhat, Camp - 01 Block- Barahat, District- Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                  |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Mritunjay Prasad Singh, Adv.<br>Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Adv. |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Tripurari Nath Ambastaha, AC to SC-26)                       |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-09-2024                      Heard Mr. Mritunjay Prasad Singh, learned Advocate  
  
for the petitioner and Mr. Tripurari Nath Ambastaha, learned  
  
Advocate for the State.

2. The petitioner by invoking the jurisdiction of this  
  
Court seeking quashing of the General Notice contained in  
  
Memo No. 1182, dated 02.08.2024 issued under the signature of  
  
Circle Officer, Barahat, District-Banka by which he has invited



objection to all concern in relation to the lands of Khata No. 34, Plot No. 7, 26, 100, 115, 133, 135, 140, 130 and 155 situated in village-Kolhatha, Thana No. 129, Anchal- Barahat, District-Banka till 12.08.2024.

3. While assailing the impugned notice and seeking consequential relief, various averments have been made in the writ petition in support of the claim of the petitioner. However, the petitioner has failed to persuade this Court as to what has prevented the petitioner to approach before the Circle Officer and bringing on record all such facts as have been stated in this writ petition.

4. Learned Advocate for the State also contended before this Court that by the notice impugned, objection has been invited and the petitioner is under obligation to place all the facts before the Circle Officer; who shall consider the same and pass necessary order.

5. Considering the grievance of the petitioner, this Court finds substance in the contention of the learned Advocate for the State; accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a proper objection/reply before the Circle Officer within a period of two weeks from today.



6. If such an objection/reply is filed within the stipulated period, the same shall be considered and disposed off in accordance with law preferably within a further period of eight weeks thereafter.

(Harish Kumar, J)

sushma/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

