

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.950 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8848 of 2019

=====

Laleshwar Sah Son of Mohan Sah Resident of Village- Jibachhpur Ward No. 6, Police Station- Bharahi, District- Madhepura.

... .. Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The B.N. Mandal University through its Registrar, Lalu Nagar, Madhepura.
5. The Vice- Chancellor, B.N. Mandal University through its Registrar, Lalu Nagar, Madhepura.
6. The Registrar, B.N. Mandal University through its Registrar, Lalu Nagar, Madhepura.
7. The Examination Controller, B.N. Mandal University through its Registrar, Lalu Nagar, Madhepura.
8. Sadanand Yadav, son of Late Upendra Narayan Yadav, resident of Village- Tulsibari, Ward No. 07, P.S. Madhepura, District-Madhepura.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Prabhakar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, AAG-15
Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra, AC to AAG-15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 24-06-2024

In terms of our previous order dated 13.05.2024, we have specifically asked the appellant to file supplementary affidavit to the extent of fulfilling the criteria



laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Secretary, State of Karnataka and Others vs. Umadevi*** reported in ***(2006) 4 SCC 1*** and the same has not been fulfilled and it is admitted fact.

2. Order dated 13.05.2024 has not been complied by the Appellant. Learned counsel for the Appellant, on instruction, submitted that the appellant is not fulfilling the criteria laid down in the Hon'ble Supreme Court decision in the case of ***Secretary, State of Karnataka and Others vs. Umadevi*** (Cited Supra). In other words Appellant has admitted that he is not eligible to be observed or regularized.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal stands dismissed, while affirming the order of the learned Single Judge. If the Appellant has any other monetary benefits or service benefits with reference to service rendered by him and if he is entitled to with reference any policy decision of the State/concerned Respondent, he may approach the concerned authorities with material information claiming any monetary or service benefits. If he approaches the concerned authority. Authority shall pass suitable order &



communicate the same at the earliest.

4. Accordingly, pending I.A., if any, stands disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

manish/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	27.06.2024
Transmission Date	NA

