

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60755 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-284 Year-2024 Thana- PARSABAZAR District- Patna

Golu Kumar @ Sunny Kumar S/o- Sri Raju Singh Resident of Mohalla-
Abdullachak, P.O.- Parsa, P.S. Parsa Bazar, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Swastika

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-10-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 149,
386, and 307 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 25(1-
B)(a), 27 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges
that on 13.06.2024 during patrolling, he received an information
that firing was made at village Chhatna, accordingly, the
informant reached the place of occurrence when he saw
constable, Deep Narayan Singh present there along with local
people who disclosed that an altercation had taken place
between boys of Abdulllah Chak and Pipra village while playing



Cricket on 12.06.2024 on account of which on 13.06.2024 the petitioner along with other named accused and 5-6 unknown accused persons came on a motorcycle at Pipra village and made firing of 10-20 rounds and fled towards Parsa Sampatchak road, further Deep Narayan Singh made recovery of one live and five used cartridges from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is also submitted that though there is allegation of firing but then no one was injured. It is next submitted that petitioner is a businessman and he runs a Gas Agency and thus has been implicated with general and omnibus allegation. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence that he was not present at the place of occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/-



(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Parsa Bazar P.S. Case No. 284 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to forthwith cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned P.S. through the learned Trial Court.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

