

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13393 of 2023

=====

Sheela Kumari Wife of - Moti Lal Kumar, Resident of Village- Ward No. 10,
Raghunathpur Gram Panchayat, P.S. - Bhargama, District - Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director (ICDS), Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Purnea Division, District - Purnea, Bihar.
4. The Collector, Araria.
5. The District Programme Officer (ICDS), Araria.
6. The Child Development and Project Officer, Bhargama, District- Araria.
7. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Araria.
8. Lady Supervisor-cum-Member Secretary, Appointment Committee, Block - Bhargama, Araria.
9. Soni Kumari, Wife of Sandeep Kumar, Resident of Ward No. 10, Gram Panchyat - Raghuanthpur, P.S. Bhargama, District - Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar Priyadarshi, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

"A. For quashing of order dated 26.04.2023 passed by Ld. Divisional Commissioner, Purnea passed in Anganwari Revision No.06/2022 whereby and whereunder the revision application preferred by the petitioner namely Sheela Kumari has been rejected.



B. For quashing of order dated 15.12.2021 passed by District Programme Officer (ICDS) Araria passed in Anganwari Appeal Case No. 02/2020-21 whereby and whereunder the appeal filed by Soni Kumari Respondent No. 9 is allowed and the order passed by the CDPO, Bhargama has been set aside.

C. For issuance of appropriate order/ order(s) directing the respondent authorities particularly DPO (ICDS), Araria & CDPO, Bhargama who accept the joining of the petitioner forthwith and for grant of current salary as well as arrear of salary."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

