

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12317 of 2024**

=====

Girijesh Pandey @ Grijesh Pandey S/o- Late Chandeshwar Pandey @ Late Chndeshwar Pandey Resident of Vill.- Auraiya, P.S.- Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran. ... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Special Director, Secondary Education (Incharge Sanskrit), Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Education Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran.
6. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Bettiah, Dist.- West Champaran.
7. Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna through its Chairman.
8. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                         |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate             |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Md. Raizul Haque, Standing Counsel (10) |
| For the BSSB         | : | Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Advcoate    |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-08-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. There is consensus at the Bar that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgment dated 13.08.2019 passed in L.P.A. No.43 of 2016 and other analogous cases.

3. Considering the aforesaid facts, as the case of the petitioner stands on similar footing to that of petitioners of L.P.A. No.43 of 2016 and other analogous cases, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this writ application pending.



4. In such view of the matter, this writ application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a detailed representation along with a copy of this order as also the judgment passed in L.P.A. No. 43 of 2016 before the respondent nos.5 and 6 within a period of four weeks from today. Respondent nos.5 and 6 are directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner by passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within a period of ten weeks from date of its filing after affording proper opportunity of hearing and pay the admitted dues of the petitioner, if any.

5. It is made clear that respondent nos. 5 and 6 shall be held responsible for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period as aforesaid.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

Trivedi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

