

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62928 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-122 Year-2024 Thana- NAUHATTA District- Saharsa

=====

Dharmendra Yadav Son of Lalan Yadav Resident of Village-Purushottampur,
P.S.- Nauhatta, District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandra Mohan Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Lal Verma, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 122 of 2024 dated 20.05.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 341, 323, 302, 120B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have surrounded the informant's brother and started assaulting him with fists and slaps. When the informant and his mother came to rescue, they were assaulted by the accused persons. In the meantime, the co-accused, Vikash Yadav exhorted to kill upon which the



co-accused, Deepak Yadav fired on the informant's brother with intent to kill due to which he sustained bullet injury and died on the spot. On hulla, the villagers gathered there then the accused persons fled away from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The specific allegation of firing is against the co-accused, Deepak Yadav and the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has two criminal antecedents in which he is on bail as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. As per the impugned order dated 30.07.2024, the petitioner is in custody since 21.05.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Saharsa in connection
with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 122 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

