

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60067 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2024 Thana- DINARA District- Rohtas

=====

Laxmina Devi, wife of Late Arvind Paswan Resident of village - Kund, Ps-
Bhanas (op), Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Kant

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Shaheen Begum

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 21-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of her

arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under

Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits

that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a

woman and the allegation is of recovery of 50 litres of liquor

from a place in front of the house of the petitioner.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits

that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such,

nothing was recovered from her conscious possession and



even alleged recovery is from a place, which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to villagers at large and she came to be implicated at the instance of Chaukidar with whom her husband is on an inimical term.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Judge, Excise Court No.1, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Dinara (Bhanas O.P.) P. S. Case No.225 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify



the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if
it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case,
in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be
given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

