

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12767 of 2023

1. Rajendra Mehta Son of Late Harimadho Mehta, Resident of Village - Majhaua, P.O- Gangapur, P.S.- Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul.
2. Vaidyanath Mehta @ Baidyanath Mehta Son of Late Harimadho Mehta, Resident of Village - Majhaua, P.O- Gangapur, P.S.- Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul.
3. Mahendra Mehta Son of Late Harimadho Mehta, Resident of Village - Majhaua, P.O- Gangapur, P.S.- Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul.
4. Satya Narayan Mehta Son of Late Harimadho Mehta, Resident of Village - Majhaua, P.O- Gangapur, P.S.- Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul.
5. Bhanu Mehta @ Bhnua Mehta Son of Surya Narayan Mehta, Resident of Gauripatti, Ward Ho. 6, Siani, P.S.- Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul.
6. Darshan Yadav Son of Ruplal Yadav, Resident of Murli, Ward No. 3, P.S.- Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport (National Highway), New Delhi.
2. The Chairman, National Highway Authority of India, Section- 10/11 Dwarka, New Delhi.
3. The Executive Engineer, National Highway (N.H.) Division, Madhepura, Bihar.
4. The Director, Land Acquisition Officer, Supaul, Bihar.
5. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
6. The Additional District Magistrate, Supaul.
7. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Supaul.
8. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Supaul.
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Supaul.
10. The Circle Officer, Circle- Saraigarh, Bhaptiyahi, District- Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, SC 25
For the NHAI	:	Mr. Kumar Gautam, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 19-08-2024

Heard Mr. Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, learned



counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, learned counsel for the State and Mr. Kumar Gautam, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the NHA.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the writ petition may be disposed of with the liberty to the petitioners to file detailed representations before the respondent no.5 (The District Magistrate, Supaul) annexing all the relevant documents in support of their claims within a period of four weeks. If the petitioners file representations within the aforesaid period, the respondent no.5 (The District Magistrate, Supaul) is directed to dispose of the same within a period of six months from the date of filing of such representations.

3. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

