

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.170 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15176 of 2021

-
-
1. The Central Board of Trustees, Employees Provident Fund Organization through the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, R.Block, Road No. 6, Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-I, Employees Provident Fund Organization through the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, R.Block Road No.6, Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner (Coordination), Employees Provident Fund Organization through the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, R. Block, Road No. 6, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. M/S Mars Dial Net Private Limited a Company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956, having its office at 203- Hem Plaza, Frazer Road, Police Station- Kotwali, Town and District - Patna through its authorized signatory Navneet Vats, Male, aged about 30 Years, Son of Deo Prakash Singh, resident of B- 126, Budha Colony, Hospito India, East Boring Canal Road, P.S.- Budha Colony, Patna- 800001.
2. The Bank of Baroda, Main Branch, Patna through its Branch Manager.
3. The State Bank of India, Mauryalok Branch, Patna through its Branch Manager.
4. The HDFC Bank Ltd. Boring Road Branch, Patna through its Branch Manager.
5. The Syndicate Bank/Canara Bank, Exhibition Road Branch, Patna through its Branch Manager.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prashant Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Sri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 26-10-2024

The defects pointed out by the Stamp report are



ignored, inasmuch as the present petition is being disposed off at this stage, itself.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the petitioners have filed the present review petition for review of the judgment dated 08.10.2021, passed in CWJC No.15176 of 2021, to the extent of grant of liberty to them to proceed in accordance with law to assess and recover the amount of loss caused to the fund, however, at the outset, it is submitted that the present review petition be disposed off with liberty to the review petitioners to proceed in accordance with law.

3. It is needless to state that in case the law so permits, there is no bar for the review petitioners to act in accordance with law and for the said purpose no liberty is required to be accorded.

4. Accordingly, the present review petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

