

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60438 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2024 Thana- PANDAUL District- Madhubani

Bablu Yadav, son of Vilakshan Yadav @ Ram Vilakshan Yadav, Resident of
Village- Boturi, P.S. Pandaul, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Nazir Ansari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 22-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his

arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 30(a) and 41(i) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits

that the petitioner has antecedent of four cases and the

allegation is of recovery of 6.48 litres of liquor from a place

near the shop of Santosh Kumar Mukhiya.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits

that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such,

nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and



even alleged recovery is from a place, which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to public at large and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Santosh in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum- Special Judge, Excise Act, Madhubani in connection with Pandaul P. S. Case No.100 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court



before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than four cases, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

