

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64230 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-474 Year-2023 Thana- SIMRI BAKHTIYARPUR District-
Saharsa

=====

RUPAM SAHA S/O LATE DIPANKAR SAHA R/O Mohalla- A.D Nagar,
Surjopara, P.S - A.D Nagar, Distt.- West Tripura Agartala Tripura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Diwakar Prasad Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Sangeeta Sharma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 01-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Simri Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No.474 of 2023, registered for the
offence punishable u/s 8, 20(B)iiB, 21(b), 29 of NDPS Act.

3. Altogether, 15.400 kg of contraband (*ganja*) is said to
have been recovered from the car of the petitioner and driver
along with four others were apprehended on the spot.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence.
He has been falsely made accused in this case merely on basis
that he is owner of the said car but the real fact is that the car
was hired by one Indrajeet Das to go to Silliguri from



14.08.2023 to 17.08.2024 and during the said date, the petitioner was in contact with Indrajeet Das but after 17.08.2023, Indrajeet Das went unreachable, therefore, the petitioner submitted one application before the Officer-in-charge of Belonia South Tripura. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious physical possession of the petitioner. He has no concern with the alleged recovery of the contraband. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that the contraband has been recovered from the car of the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail on his behalf is hereby rejected.

7. This application is accordingly dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

