

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60174 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2024 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Madhubani

=====

Awdhesh Kumar @ Awdhesh Thakur Son of Dharani Thakur Resident of
Village -Sisauni Ward No. 9, P.S. -Rudrapur, Dist.-Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gagandeo Yadav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-09-2024 Heard Mr. Gagandeo Yadav, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest connection
with Jhanjharpur P.S. Case No. 121 of 2024, F.I.R. dated
04.07.2024 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 30(a), 32(1), 32(3) of the Bihar Prohibition & Excise
Act, 2018.

3. Recovery is of 544 liters of foreign liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the
allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the
petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R.



He further submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that the recovery has been made from a tractor in question and the petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the tractor in question. He further submits that he has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the tractor in question and it appears from the F.I.R. that the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of secret information and except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. Therefore, the recovery cannot be attributed to the petitioner. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr. P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in 2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089. Having regard to the law



laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the vehicle in question, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order. be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Excise Act, Jhanjharpur, District- Madhubani in connection with Jhanjharpur PS. Case No. 121 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.



2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

