

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58160 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2022 Thana- CHANPATIA District- West Champaran

Guddu Sah @ Ashutosh Sah Son Of Mohan Sah Village- Chuhari Ps-
Chanpatia Dist-West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Kumar Shrivastva, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

7 27-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Chanpatia P.S. Case No. 383/2022, lodged on 26.07.2022der
Section 304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the FIR has been
lodged against the present petitioner and his other family
members alleging that all the accused persons have killed the
daughter of the informant for non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The
allegation levelled in the FIR is false. In fact, it is not a case of



murder rather it is a simple case of suicide as narrated in paragraph 8 of the petition after going through the Whatsapp chat of the victim, the petitioner came to know that her wife had a pre-marital relationship with a boy of her village and when the petitioner started protesting about her illegal activities, then she committed suicide. The petitioner is in custody since 27.09.2022 having a clean antecedent. The chargesheet has already been filed.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Upon specific query from the counsel for the petitioner whether the charge has been framed or not, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 06.09.2023, a report was called for which has been received and the report suggests that the charge has not been framed till date.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Chanpatia P.S. Case No. 383/2022, pending before the learned CJM, Bettiah at West Champaran is hereby rejected.

9. However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for



bail after 9 months of framing of the charge.

10. With this observation, the bail application stands disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

