

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60712 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2024 Thana- KARPI District- Jehanabad

Rahul Kumar Son of Late Fantush Mahto Resident of Village - Chhoti Mirza,
P.S. - Kasim Bazar, District - Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 05-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Karpi (Shahar Telpa O.P.) P.S. Case No. 54 of 2024 dated 23.02.2024 registered for the offence/s punishable u/ss 25 (1-A), 25(1-AA), 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, on secret information the police party conducted a raid and exposed a mini gun factory and recovered weapons, semi-made weapon and other articles used in manufacturing of the said weapons and apprehended the other co-accused persons from the house of the co-accused *Raushan Kumar @ Laddu*. On interrogation, the co-



accused *Raushan Kumar @ Laddu*. disclosed the other mechanic including the petitioner who were brought from Munger by the co-accused *Raushan Kumar @ Laddu* and *Mukesh Patel*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the house of the co-accused *Raushan Kumar @ Laddu*. The petitioner has been made accused in this case merely on the basis of the labour hired by the co-accused *Raushan Kumar* and the *Mukesh Patel*. Similarly situated co-accused has already been granted bail by the co-ordinate bench of this court *vide* order dated 24.06.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 42104 of 2024. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 24.02.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Jehanabad in connection with Karpi (Shahar Telpa O.P.) P.S. Case No. 54 of 2024, with a condition/s:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

