

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60710 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2023 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

=====

Poonam Devi W/o Late Sanoj Kumar Singh @ Late Sanoj Singh Resident of
Village Parsarma, Ward No 04, P.S. - Supaul, District Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Murari Narain Chaudhary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Raghopur P.S. Case No.55 of 2023, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 8/20(b)(ii)(c), 25 and 29 of the
N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per prosecution case, there is recovery of 104
kg *Ganja* from the car of petitioner and 142 kg *Ganja* from the
house of the co-accused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. Petitioner is the owner of the vehicle which was purchased
for her personal use, however, the said vehicle was driven by the
co-accused and she had no knowledge about the use of vehicle



and illegal business of contraband article. He further submits that petitioner is a woman having no criminal antecedent and she is in custody since 16.12.2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and submits that there is a recovery of huge quantity of *Ganja* from the car of the petitioner which comes under the category of commercial quantity and the learned trial court has framed charge against the petitioner under Section 20(b)(ii)(c) / 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act .

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties as well as considering the fact that huge quantity of *Ganja* have been recovered from the vehicle of the petitioner which comes under the commercial quantity, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

