

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-276 Year-2024 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Mukherjee
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey

2 19-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that

petitioners seek anticipatory bail in connection with Amarpur P.S.

Case No. 276 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 341, 323, 308, 354, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian

Penal Code.

3. The learned APP for the State, at the outset, submits

that the offences for which the instant FIR has been instituted against

the petitioners carries punishment of seven years and less, the said

submission of the learned APP is not disputed by the learned counsel



appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners next submits that the investigation in the case against the petitioners is still continuing, but then they have not been given the benefit of Section 41A of the Cr.P.C.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned APP, the anticipatory bail application is disposed of *in terms of the order dated 13-2-2024 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari Vs. The State of Bihar).*

6. The petitioners would be at liberty to file a representation before the concerned Superintendent of Police and the Investigating Officer of the case within a period of three weeks from today with a web copy of the order dated 13-2-2024 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari Vs. the state of Bihar) and the concerned Superintendent of Police shall ensure that the Investigating Officer of the case strictly adheres to the directions contained in the the order dated 13-2-2024 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari vs. The State of Bihar).

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

