

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19532 of 2021**

=====

Amitabh Kumar Son Of Jai Ram Sharma, Resident Of Village-Bhori, P.S.-  
Tekari, District-Gaya, At Present Assistant Teacher In Sangdarshan Sanskrit  
High School, Bhori, P.S. Tekari, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Special Director, Secondary Education, In-charge Sanskrit Education, Bihar, Patna, Department of Education, Vikash Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna, through its Secretary.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Education Officer, Gaya, District-Gaya.
6. The District Programme Officer, Gaya, District-Gaya.
7. The Headmaster, Sangdarshan Sanskrit High School Bhori, P.S.-Tekari, District-Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                               |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Suresh Kumar Ishwar, Adv. |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Jitendra Kr. Roy, SC-13   |
| For the BSSB         |   | Ms. Kumari Sujita Sinha, Adv. |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      22-03-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
  
learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
  
this writ petition is squarely covered by order dated 27.03.2019  
  
passed in C.W.J.C. No. 985 of 2015 and C.W.J.C. No. 15299 of  
  
2015 and analogous case.

3. In such view of the matter, I direct the  
  
petitioner to file a fresh representation before the District  
  
Programme Officer, Gaya (respondent no. 6) along with all



supporting judgments and documents within a period of two weeks and the respondent no. 6 is well advised to consider the case of the petitioner after affording opportunity of personal hearing or through his counsel and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within six weeks thereafter.

4. It is made clear that respondent no. 6 shall be solely liable for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

5. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ application stands disposed of.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

devendra/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

