

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59538 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2024 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Supaul

MANOJ YADAV @ MANOJ KUMAR S/O Baldev Yadav R/O Ward No 06,
Gauravgarh, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tanushri, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kanhiya Kishor, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act in
connection with Supaul P.S. Case No.100 of 2024.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of
recovery of 105 liters of liquor from a place near a pond.

4. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested
from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious
possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which
does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to public at
large and he came to be implicated based on confessional
statement of Rajesh in police custody which does not have any



evidentiary value.

5. The learned APP for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge (Excise Act), Supaul in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No.100 of 2024., subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

