

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11878 of 2024

=====

Sudarshan Prasad Yadav Son of Late- Gauri Shankar Yadav Resident of
Village- Lakhanjhari, P.S.- Sadar, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Personnel and General Administration Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Purnea.
5. The Collector, Purnea.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Purnea.
7. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.K.P. Gupta, GP-10
		Mr.Anwar Karim, AC to GP-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

5 19-11-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

*“1. That this writ application is being filed for
issuance of an appropriate writ/writs
order/orders direction/directions directing and
commanding the respondent authorities not to
disturb and make hindrance in the peaceful
physical possession of the petitioner over the
properties situated at Mauza- Lakhanjhari,
Revenue Thana No.97, appertaining to Khata
No. 146, Plot No. 513, 514 and 519, Total Area-
3 Acres and 22 Decimal and also for directing
the respondents to pay adequate compensation
for demolition of the boundary wall of the*



petitioner standing over the aforesaid properties as well as for other civil damages caused in course of the said illegal and unlawful demolition work carried out by the respondent authorities on 28.07.2024.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the parties are in agreement that a Jamabandi bearing Jamabandi No.146 is existing in the name of the petitioner and a Jamabandi Cancellation Case No.48 of 2023-24 has been initiated, however, till date the Jamabandi in question has not stood cancelled.

3. In such view of the matter, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till the jamabandi in question is cancelled, the respondent-State cannot displace the petitioner from the land in question, hence appropriate directions be issued to the said extent.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it fit and proper to direct the respondent-authorities to not disturb the possession of the petitioner over the land in question till the time the jamabandi stands cancelled.

5. The writ petition stands disposed off on the aforesaid terms.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

