

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19363 of 2021

=====

Kanchan Bharti W/o Prasant Kumar Priyadarshi resident of Village-
Gandhighar, Bindtoli, Ward No. 7, P.S.- Kursela, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Purnea Division, Purnea.
4. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Katihar.
5. The District Programme Officer, Katihar.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Kursela, Katihar.
7. The Lady Supervisor, Sameli, Katihar.
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj South Muradpur, Kursela, Katihar.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj South Muradpur, Kursela, Katihar.
10. Tilo Kumari W/o Dasrath Mahto resident of Village- Bindtoli (West), Ward No. 7, P.O.- Ayodhyaganj, P.S.- Kursela, District- Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhola Prasad, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Md. Raisul Haque (Sc10)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH

ORAL ORDER

2 13-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*"1(i) For quashing the order dated
31.8.2021 passed by the Collector, Katihar*



(Respondent No. 4) in Anganbari Appeal No. 320/2018-19 whereby the appeal of the petitioner has been dismissed and order of termination from the Post of Sevika of Centre No. 76, Bindtofi (West) dated 06.02.2019 passed by the D.P.O.,Katihar (Respondent No. 5) in Misc. Anganbari Appeal Case No. 69/2018 has been affirmed.

(ii) For quashing the order dated 06.02.2019 passed by the D.P.O., Katihar (Respondent No.5) in Misc. Anganbari Appeal Case No. 69/2018 whereby the selection of the petitioner for the Post of Sevika of Anganbari Centre No.76, Bindtoli (West), south Muradpur Panchayat, Kursela, Katihar has been cancelled and C.D.P.O., Kursela was directed to consider the claim of Respondent No. 10 and if her claim is found true/genuine then issue selection/appointment letter in her favour."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.



3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

