

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60596 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2024 Thana- TETERHAT District- Lakhisarai

1. Mukesh Ravidas son of Suresh Ravidas
2. suresh Ravidas @ Suresh Das son of Late Batoran Ravidas
3. Rubi Devi Wife of Mukesh Ravidas

All Are Resident Of Village- Sondhi, Ward No. 6, PS- Tetarhat, Dist- Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mayank Bilochan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 23-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307 and 34 of the IPC in connection with Tetarhat P.S. Case No.19 of 2024.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no.3 is a women and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to passage the accused persons came and on orders of



Suresh started assaulting by lathi, thereafter, Mukesh assaulted her son by lathi causing injury on head and Suresh assaulted him by lathi on his left hand and Rubi Devi assaulted her with Kachiya causing injury on nose, further accused assaulted her by brick causing injury on head.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of dispute relating to property. It is next submitted that petitioners and the informant are agnates and after partition they are staying in the same house as has been specifically pleaded at para-8 of the anticipatory bail application. It is also submitted that from perusal of Annexure-2 that if the injury report of the informant, it would manifest that the injuries suffered by her is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lakhisarai in connection with Tetarhat P.S. Case No.19 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

