

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63825 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2023 Thana- PARSA District- Saran

=====

Anil Singh Son of Umesh Singh R/O- Village- Harpur, P.S.- Parsa, District- Saran at Chapra (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 28-10-2024

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Parsa P.S. Case no. 89 of 2023 (Sessions Trial no.381 of 2024) registered under sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the sister of the informant who was married to the petitioner was tortured and killed for non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry and her body disposed of.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 7.3.2024 (Annexure-1) passed in Cr. Misc. no. 79301 of 2023. In spite of the petitioner being in custody since 12.4.2023, there is no progress whatsoever in the trial in the learned trial Court and there is no chance of the same



concluding in the near future. The petitioner undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per the report received contained in letter dated 1.10.2024, charge has been framed against the petitioner in the learned trial Court on 19.6.2024 under sections 302/34 and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code and one witness has been examined on behalf of the prosecution.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R., the petitioner being the husband of the deceased, the prayer for bail of the petitioner having been rejected on merits on 7.3.2024 and the trial having commenced in the learned trial Court with one witness having been examined on behalf of the prosecution, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

