

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12373 of 2023

Nibha Kumari W/o Sri Rajiv Thakur @ Rajiv Kumar Thakur, resident of
Village- Ward No.3, Jogni Ganga Post-Bariyarpur, P.S. and Block- Sakra,
District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Family and Social Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Intergrated Chield Development Services, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Trihut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate-Cum-Collector, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Programme Officer, (I.C.D.S.) Muzaffarpur
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Sakra District-Muzaffarpur.
7. Priti Kumar W/o Rajnish Kumar Jha Resident of Village-Jogni Ganga, Post-Bariyarpur, P.S. and Block- Sakra, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhash, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prashant Pratap (Gp2)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH

ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

"(i) To issue an appropriate order/s, direction including a writ preferably in the nature of CERTOPRARI for quashing the order dated 01-07-2023 passed in Anganbari Case No. 02 of 2017-18 passed by the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Muzaffarpur whereby and whereunder he has dismissed the appeal



preferred by the petitioner and cancelled the appointment of petitioner who was working to the post of Anganbari Sevika in Anganbari Centre No.228 under Ward N.03 of Village Panchayat- Jogni Ganga, within Sakra Block in the District of Muzaffarpur.

(ii) To direct the respondent District Magistrate-cum- Collector to restore the order as contained in memo No. 578/ dated 13-12-16 directing the Child Development Project Officer, Sakra to issue selection letter to the petitioner to the post of Anganbari Sevika for the above-mentioned centre,

(iii) To direct the respondent Child Development Project Officer, Sakra to reinstate the petitioner hence forth with to the post in question."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned civil court of competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not



pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

