

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58276 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2023 Thana- MADHWAPUR District- Madhubani

Bachchi Devi @ Bachi Devi Wife of Shatrudhan Ray R/O Vill.- Marar, P.S.-
Riga, Punaura, Dist.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abu Nasar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with G.R. No. 1584/2023 arising out of Madhwapur P.S Case No. 113/2023 dated 27.10.2023 for the offences punishable u/ss 272, 273 and 414 read with 34 of the I.P.C. and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 4.5 litres of illicit Nepali country-made liquor and 2.7 litres of Nepali liquor were recovered from the dicky of the motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in



para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is the owner of the said vehicle but the said vehicle was not being ridden by the petitioner at the time of the alleged recovery. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide order dated 23.04.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 30368 of 2024. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the



petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhubani in connection with Madhwapur P.S Case No. 113/2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

