

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 57480 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2024 Thana- DINARA District- Rohtas

Rameshwar Singh @ Lallu Singh Son of Late Bhukhi Singh R/O Vill.-
Bhanas, P.S.- Dinara, Dist.- Rohtas, Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Durgesh Nandan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umanath Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 307, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of two cases but was acquitted in
one case. It is next submitted that the informant alleges that his
son Govind and Arvind on 09.04.2024 on request of Ramakant
had gone to his *Khaliyan* for work, when the accused persons
came variously armed and Santosh started assaulting his son by
lathi. On alarm, when informant reached the place of
occurrence, the petitioner started firing, thereafter the accused



also assaulted villager Santosh by *lathi*. It is next alleged that petitioner had earlier shot his brother for which a criminal case has been instituted. The injured were taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of previous enmity. It is submitted, no doubt, that the petitioner was implicated in the case of murder of the brother of the informant but then he was acquitted in the said case. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner is alleged to have fired, but then no one was injured in the firing which amply demonstrates that the allegation of firing has been alleged only to give seriousness to the case. It is next submitted no doubt, Arvind suffered grievous injury on his nose but then no specific allegation is alleged that as to who assaulted Arvind. It is also submitted that from side of the petitioner in Dinara P.S. Case No. 147 of 2024 had been instituted against the informant and his side.

5. It is further submitted that the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.



6. The learned APP for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. 1st Class, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 146 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. **The application stands allowed.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

