

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13556 of 2023

=====

Urmila Devi, W/o Sri Kuldeep Yadav R/o village- Sirsiya Hanumanganj,
Ward no. 03, P.S.- Bhargama, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, District Araria.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, District Araria.
5. The Executive Engineer, Building Division, Araria.
6. The Junior Engineer, Building Division, Araria.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Forbesganj, Araria.
8. The Circle Officer, Bhargama, District Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kundan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kumar Alok (Sc 7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-02-2024 Heard Mr. Kundan Kumar Singh, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Kumar Alok, learned

SC 7 for the State.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the Panchayat

Sarkar Bhawan is to be constructed in accordance with the

government guidelines in the headquarter village and in the

present case, the same relates to Panchayat Raj Sirsiya,

Hanumanganj, District, Araria but in spite of the land having

been identified, the required amount has not been sanctioned till



date.

3. Considering the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the petitioner, who is the Mukhiya of the Gram Panchayat, the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar is directed to take appropriate action in the matter, so that the basic structure of the Panchyat Raj of local area being the Panchayat, Sirsiya must not be stalled for non-availability of the building, which is required as per the State Government, as well as, the Central Government policy and in this regard, guidelines have already been issued by the Department. In case, the Additional Chief Secretary finds that it is the District Panchayat Raj Officer, who has delayed the matter in sanctioning the amount, then in that case, he must take appropriate action against him, so that further development programme must not be hampered.

4. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

