

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12158 of 2023**

=====

Pritam Devi Wife of Sri Sangeet Kumar Resident of Village-Kushahar, Ward No.6, Block- Basantpur, District-Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, I.C.D.S. Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
5. The District Officer-cum-District Magistrate-Cum- District Collector, Supaul.
6. The District Programme Officer (I.C.D.S.), Supaul.
7. The Child Development Project Officer (C.D.P.O.), Basantpur, P.S.-Birpur, District-Supaul.
8. The Selection Committee of Anganwari Sevika of Ward No. 6, Gram Panchayat-Kushahar, Block-Basantpur, P.S.-Birpur, District-Supaul through its Secretary, the Female Supervisor, Basantpur.
9. Nitu Kumari Wife of Rajesh Yadav Resident of Village-Kushahar, Ward No.06, Block-Basantpur, District-Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrit Abhijat, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prasant Pratap ( GP- 2 )

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-02-2024                      The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

*“1. A) To issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari setting aside the order dated 10.03.2023 passed in Anganbari Appeal Case No. 13/2021 (Annexure-5) passed by District Collector, Supaul so far it directs District Programme Officer, Basantpur to do de-nevo*



*selection of candidate in Anganbari Centre No.182, Ward No.6, Gram Panchayat-Kushahar, Block-Basantpur, P.S.-Birpur, District-Supaul as petitioner challenged selection of Private Respondent No.9, Nitu Kumari within 1 year of preparation of panel, however, petitioner succeeded in Appeal and selection of Nitu Kumari was set aside.”*

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

S.Sb/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

