

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13030 of 2024

=====

Santosh Kumar Son of Shri Chandrabhushan Ram, Resident of Village-
Wazidpur, P.S.- Karpi, District- Arwal (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Additional Chief Secretary, Home (Special) Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Home (Special) Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Special Secretary, Home (Special) Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director General cum Commandant General, Home Guards, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Arwal, Bihar.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Arwal, Bihar.
7. The Sr. District Commandant, Home Guards, Arwal, Patna.
8. The District Welfare Officer, Arwal, Bihar.
9. The District Education Officer, Arwal, Bihar.
10. The Headmaster, Pragatishil Uchcha Vidyalaya, Khadashin, District Arwal (Bihar).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Koushlendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Government Pleader (19)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-09-2024 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned GP-19 for the State.

2. The petitioner in paragraph no. 1 of the present writ
petition has sought *inter alia* following relief(s), which is
reproduced hereinafter:-

***“(i) For issuance of writ in the nature of
mandamus or any other appropriate writ/
direction/ order for commanding the
respondent concerned to produce the***



recommendation of three men committee constituted by the District Magistrate, Arwal to re-examine the documents concerned with the petitioner's educational qualification and further to appoint the petitioner as Home Guard under the district Arwal against Advertisement No.02/2011.

(ii) For issuance of any other appropriate writ, order or direction which your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, considering the present writ petition to be pre-mature, informs that the petitioner has already approached the competent authority/Information Officer for giving requisite information, however, he has not received any information in respect of his district for being appointed on the post of Home Guard.

4. In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, I find that the petitioner has right to seek the desired information under the Right to Information Act from the Information Officer.

5. With the above observation/direction, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

chn/durgesh

U			
---	--	--	--

