

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56108 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2024 Thana- BELSAND District- Sitamarhi

Md. Meraj S/o Md. Saim @ Mohammad Saim R/o Village- Pandrahi @
Parrahi, P.S.- Belsand, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anita Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

2 19-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 25(1-B)a and 26 of the
Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant was on vehicle
checking duty, a person (petitioner) tried to escape after seeing
the police vehicle and on chase, petitioner was apprehended on
the spot. Several illegal arms and ammunitions were recovered
from the possession of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and
has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village



politics and the entire allegations levelled against the petitioner are false and fabricated. He is in custody since 01.05.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. On perusal of the first information report, seizure list and impugned order dated 09.07.2024, it appears that the petitioner is named in the FIR and as per seizure list, it transpired that one country made pistol and two live cartridges were recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and petitioner has got several criminal antecedent for the offences punishable under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code as stated in para-3 of the petition, so considering the above facts and circumstances and serious nature of offence and criminal antecedents against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

