

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55877 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2024 Thana- SARAIYA District- Muzaffarpur

Ramesh Kumar @ Ramesh Rai @ Ramesh Ray, son of Ram Surat Ray
Village- Basuchak Ps- Saraiya Dist- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 08-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 32(2), 32(3), 41 and 45 of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of one case and the allegation is of recovery of 05 litres of liquor from a motorcycle.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized motorcycle and he came to be implicated at the instance of Chaukidar with whom he is on an inimical term.
5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail



application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge (Excise), Court No.II, Muzaffarpur in connection with Saraiya P. S. Case No.162 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

