

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11731 of 2024

=====

Ravi Bhushan Kumar Yadav S/o Kanhai Lal Yadav, R/o Vill. Sangrampur,
P.O. Kohvarwa, P.S.- Sonbarsa, Dist. - Sitamarhi. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Secretary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Director (Primary Education), Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate Sitamarhi.
5. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.
6. The District Program Officer (Establishment), Sitamarhi.
7. The Block Development Officer, Sonbarsa, Sitamarhi.
8. The Block Education Officer, Sonbarsa, Sitamarhi.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Vishanpur Aadhar, Block Sonbarsa, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondents.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ application has been filed by the petitioner for payment of arrears of salary as well as current salary since 02.10.2018 to March, 2022, July, 2022 to August, 2022 and October, 2022 to till date and further not to disturb the petitioner in discharging his duty as a Panchayat Teacher in Primary School, Vishunpur Sangram.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for



redressal of his grievance, the petitioner approached before the respondent authorities and also filed representations but the respondent authorities are sitting tight over the matter and no action has been taken as yet.

4. In such view of the matter, I have no option but to direct the petitioner to file a fresh detailed representation before the District Education Officer, Sitamarhi (respondent no.5) along with all supporting documents within a period of four weeks and the respondent no.5 is well advised to consider the case of the petitioner after affording opportunity of personal hearing or through his counsel and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within six weeks thereafter.

5. It is made clear that respondent no.5 shall be solely liable for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

