

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12257 of 2024

=====

Aman Kumar S/o-Rajniti Prasad Yadav Resident of Village- Awas Board,
Distirct-Khagaria, P.O.-Koshi College, Khagaria, P.S.-Muffasil, Distirct-
Khagaria (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State University Service Commission 8th Floor, Bihar School Examination Board, Academic Building Budha Marg, Patna-800001, through its Secretary.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State University Service Commission, 8th Floor, Bihar School Examination Board, Academic Building Budha Marg, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar Vikash BHawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Additional Chief Secretary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
5. The Director, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Bihar Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. J. K. Roy-1, SC-13
		Ms. U. K. Singh, AC to SC-13
For the Commission	:	Mr. Harsh Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- | | | |
|---|------------|---|
| 2 | 20-08-2024 | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.2. Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is apprehending that his experience certificate shall be rejected by the Bihar State University Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as “the Commission”) on the ground that it has not been countersigned by the Registrar of the concerned University. |
|---|------------|---|



3. Learned counsel for the Commission submits that scrutiny of the application for appointment as Assistant Professor is going on and the writ application has been filed on mere apprehension, which is speculative in nature.
4. In view of the fact that the present writ application has been filed on mere apprehension and the application of the petitioner has not been rejected after scrutiny, in my opinion no cause of action has arisen for filing the writ application.
5. In the result this writ application is dismissed as premature, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court, if in future the grievance of the petitioner subsists.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

