

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55947 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2024 Thana- DUMARIAGHAT District- East  
Champaran

Prashant Kumar Shukla @ Prashant Kumar Son of Jitendra Shukla Resident  
of Village - Shyampur, Ward No.- 01, P.S.- Sangrampur, District - East  
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Karandeep Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Sharda Kumari, APP.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      31-08-2024                      Heard Mr. Karandeep Kumar, learned counsel  
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mrs. Sharda Kumari,  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection  
with Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No. 136 of 2024 registered for the  
offence punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition  
and Excise Act, 2016.

3. Allegation is of recovery of 29.100 litres of foreign  
liquor from a vehicle.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely  
implicated in the case on the disclosure made by co-accused  
Sonu Kumar Shukla. He has no concern either with the seized  
liquor or seized vehicle or trade of liquor in any manner. The



petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No.1, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No. 136 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

mantreshwar/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

