

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18680 of 2021

=====

Sunita Kumari alias Sunita Devi Wife of Krishna Paswan Resident of Village-Deora Takiya, Ward No. 13, Post Office- Usas, Police Station- Konch, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director (I.C.D.S.), Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya, District Gaya.
4. The District Programme Officer (I.C.D.S.), Police Station Konch, District Gaya.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Block and Police Station Konch, District Gaya.
6. The Lady Supervisor, Block and Police Station- Konch, District Gaya.
7. Sarswati Kumari Wife of Amarjeet Paswan Resident of Village Deora Takiya, Ward No.13, Post Office- Usas, Police Station- Konch, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nandu Kumar Yadav, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mirtyunjay Kumar, AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1(A). For issuance of an appropriate writ/s for commanding the concerned respondents to set-aside the order dated 05.07.2021 passed in Appeal Case No. 36 of 2019 (contained in Annexure-11) whereby and whereunder appeal of this petitioner has been dismissed by confirming the order dated 08.02.2019 Passed in Anganwari



Case No. 279 of 2018 by District Programme Officer, Gaya (contained in Annexure-7) by which service of petitioner as the post of Anganwari Sevika on 25.05.2018 in General body meeting in Ward No. 13 has been cancelled without considering the facts and circumstances of the case for setting aside the consequential order dated 27.5.2019 passed by concerned Lady Supervisor, Konch, in Gaya, whereby and whereunder the respondent no. 7 has been appointed without following the law and procedure.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.
3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

