

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2505 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1592 of 1993

=====

Krishna Nandan Sharma Son of Late Rajkeshwar Sharma, resident of House No. C/41, Mohalla, Police Colony, Anisabad, P.S.-Gardanibagh, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary/Commissioner Department of Home Government of Bihar Patel Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. Mr. Ravinder Singh Bhatti, the Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Patel Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Mr. Jitendra Singh Gangawar, Addl. Director General of Police (Head Quarter) Bihar Patel Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. Mr. Rakesh Rathi, Inspector General of Police (Head Quarter) Patel Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. Smt. K. Sunita Anupam, D.I.G. (Personel) Patel Bhavan, Bailey Road, Patna.
6. Mr. Rajeev Mishra, Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP), Near North Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
7. Mr. Manu Maharaj, the Then Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP) Patna at present DIG ITBP Utrakhnad.
8. Mr. Hirdya Kant, Commandant (B.M.P.-1), Patna.
9. Mr. Arvind Kumar Chaudhary, Principal Secretary Finance Department, Main Secretariat, Patna-800015.
10. Accountant General Office of The Accountant General Bihar, Veerchand Patel Path, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Amar Anand
For the State	:	Mr. Saroj Kr. Sharma AC to AAG-3
For Accountant General	:	Mrs. Nivedita Niruipar, Sr. Advocate
		Mrs. Jhashi Priya, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

4 03-10-2024

The present contempt petition is not maintainable in



view of Section 20 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India.

2. The Co-ordinate Bench in the case of ***Dr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha and others vs. The Union of India and others*** in MJC No. 1840 of 2023 elaborately considered in respect of filing belated contempt of court petition. Hon'ble Supreme Court has also taken a similar view in the case of ***S. Tirupathi Rao vs. M. Lingamaih & Ors.*** reported in ***2024 SCC Online SC 1764***.

3. Be that as it may, on 13.02.2012, petitioner's grievance has been redressed by passing an order. If he is aggrieved, he should have assailed the order in a appropriate manner and before the jurisdictional forum. Taking note of these dates and events, present contempt petition stands dropped.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

vashudha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

