

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.957 of 2023

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1980 of 2023

-
-
1. Akhilesh Kumar S/o Manoj Narayan Srivastava, Resident of Kateyan, P.O. - Keshari Mathia, P.S. - Janta Bazar, District - Saran.
 2. Raja Kumar Singh, S/o Munshi Singh, Resident of - Sabadara, P.O. - Sangra, P.S. - Manjhi, District - Saran.
 3. Saket Kumar, S/o Jaiprakash Mahto, Resident of - Abgil Rampur, P.S. Medinichowky, District - Lakhisarai.
 4. Rajnish Ranjan, Son of- Pramod Prasad Karn, Resident of Damodarpur Mahuli, P.S. - Sarai Ranjan, District - Samastipur.
 5. Mahesh Kumar, Son of Shree Ramlal Prasad, Resident of - Baldha Naya Bigha, P.O. - Mahanandpur, P.S. Nagarnusa, District - Nalanda.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary General Administration Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna.
5. The Joint Secretary Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary College, Patna.
6. The Director, Forensic Science Laboratory, Patna, Bihar.
7. The SHO, Hawaii Adda P.S. Patna, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Vitesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ghosarvey, AC to AAG-3
For the Commission	:	Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Adv.
		Mr. Vikash Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 26-02-2024

The appellants-petitioners are before this Court



challenging an order which gave liberty to approach the court of competent jurisdiction. In fact, it was on a request made by the learned counsel for the appellants-petitioners, the learned single Judge passed the order.

2. We specifically put a question to the learned counsel who appears in the appeal, who also appeared in the writ petition, as to whether he had made such a request. When he answered in the negative, we specifically asked him to show us the averment in the appeal memorandum, where he has specifically denied such a request having been made. The learned counsel was unable to show us any such averment.

3. We hence find absolutely no reason to entertain the appeal. The appeal stands dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	27-02-2024
Transmission Date	

