

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13608 of 2022**

1. Mahendra Singh S/o Late Subedar Singh Resident of Village-Pipra, Police Station-Dumaria, District-Gaya.
2. Rabindra Singh S/o Late Subedar Singh Resident of Village-Pipra, Police Station-Dumaria, District-Gaya.
3. Shailendra Singh S/o Late Subedar Singh Resident of Village-Pipra, Police Station-Dumaria, District-Gaya.
4. Reena Devi Wife of Ajay Sao Resident of Mohalla-Magadh Colony, Road No.12, Police Station-Magadh Medical College, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Principal Secreary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The Additional Collector, Gaya.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Gaya.
5. The Circle Officer, Bodh-Gaya, Gaya.
6. The Revenue Karamchari, Circle Bodh-Gaya, Gaya.
7. Munni Devi Wife of Mahesh Singh Yadav Resident of A.P. Colony, Police Station-Rampur, District-Gaya.
8. Ranjeet Kumar Son of Mahesh Singh Yadav Resident of A.P. Colony, Police Station-Rampur, District-Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                           |   |                                                                                  |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s      | : | Mr. P.N. Shahi, Sr. Adv<br>Mr. Ram Pravesh Nath Tiwari                           |
| For the State             | : | Mr. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG-12                                                     |
| For Respondent Nos 7 & 8: |   | Mr. Amit Shrivastava, Sr. Adv<br>Mr. Kumal Tiwari, Adv<br>MR. Girish Pandey, Adv |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      09-12-2024                      1.      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Shri. P.N. Shahi, learned AC to AAG-12, Shri. Asif Kalim and the learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondent, Shri. Amit Srivastava.



2. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, at the outset, submits that an appeal has been filed against the order impugned in the instant writ application, which is pending adjudication before the District Magistrate, Gaya being Demand Cancellation Appeal No. 14 of 2022.

3. The learned senior counsel thus submits that petitioners would be satisfied in the event if the writ application is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate to decide the aforesaid appeal within a time frame.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondents and the State does not have any objection to the said submission made by the learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

5. The Court, without going into the merits of the case, disposes of the writ application with a direction to the District Magistrate, Gaya to decide the Demand Cancellation Appeal No. 14 of 2022 within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

6. The interim order, if any, is hereby vacated.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

SUMIT/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

