

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54583 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2024 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Ravi Ranjan Kumar Son of Arun Kumar R/O Village- Chero, P.S.- Sarmera,
District- Nalanda Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 414 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, informant got a secret information that petitioner along with other co-accused were going to smuggle arms in white color Xylo car bearing registration no. BR-52A-2022. Informant along with other police personnel reached at the spot and apprehended the petitioner along with other co-accused persons with one rifle, two country made pistols and 20 pieces of live cartridges.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case and no incriminating articles has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. He next submits



that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. He further submits that father of the petitioner has lodged an FIR for kidnapping of the petitioner by unknown miscreants vide Sarmera P.S. Case No. 89 of 2024 dated 22.04.2024. He next submits that the petitioner is in custody since 24.04.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR.

6. On perusal of the first information report, seizure list and impugned order dated 10.07.2024, it appears that petitioner is named in the FIR and one rifle, two country made pistol and 20 live cartridges were recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and no documents were produced regarding the recovery of the arms, so considering all aspects of the matter, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

saaurabhkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

