

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56768 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2024 Thana- Paivigha District- Gaya

=====

Rajeev Kumar Ranjan @ Rajiv Kumar Ranjan, Son Of Vishram Ray Village-
Boknari Kala, PS- Paibigha, Dist- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vipin Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 09-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his

arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under

Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits

that the petitioner has antecedent of one case and the

allegation is of recovery of 06 litres of liquor from a Scorpio

vehicle.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits

that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such,

nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he



came to be implicated based on the fact that he is owner of the seized vehicle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing a crime and thus, would create evidence against himself and hence, would get implicated. It is further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Kumar Gyanendra would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged as he was also apprehended at the spot along with Satrudhan Kumar and Ashvini Kumar.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Excise Judge-02, Gaya in connection with Pai Bigha P. S. Case No.15 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid



down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

