

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57120 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-297 Year-2024 Thana- CHAPRA TOWN District- Saran

-
1. Shabnam Praveen, D/o Md..islamuddin@ISLAMUDDIN R/o- Near Madrasa, Majhwaliya, P.S.- Madhaura, District- Saran
 2. Rajiya Khatun, Wife of Md. Islamuddin@islamuddin R/o- Near Madrasa, Majhwaliya, P.S.- Madhaura, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Hushna Begam, Wife of Md. Yashin R/o Village- Kohiya, P.S.- Garkha, District- Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Alka Panday
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 364, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that while she was coming out of gate no.4 from the Court premises where she had gone in connection with a case instituted by her daughter in-law (petitioner no.1), when



she was intercepted by the accused persons including the petitioners and petitioner no.1 put some inflammatory substance in her mouth on account of which, she was not able to see and thereafter, the accused persons took her forcefully to Saran and were planning to kill her, when a phone call from from the Police Station came on mobile of Islamuddin, hence the accused persons after snatching her belongings, dropped the informant at Muffasil Police Station.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioners are daughter in-law and *Samdhin* of the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner no.1 has instituted Complaint Case No. 1823 of 2021 against the informant and others, which is pending adjudication in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Saran at Chapra. It is also submitted that the date of occurrence is 29.04.2024, but then, the F.I.R. came to be instituted on 30.04.2024. It is next submitted that though it is alleged that occurrence took place in the Court premises, but then, no independent witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. Sri Rabindra Kumar opposes the anticipatory bail application.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Saran at Chapra in connection with Saran Town P. S. Case No.297 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

