

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54013 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-550 Year-2023 Thana- MITHANPURA District- Muzaffarpur

Md. Jamaluddin @ Bhutna Son of Md. Shahabuddin Resident of Mohalla-
Rambagh Chowk, P.S.- Mithanpura, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Ranjan, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Lal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Mithanpura P.S. Case No.550 of 2023 lodged under Sections
457 and 380 of the I.P.C. in which section 411 of the I.P.C. was
also added.

3. As per the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has been
lodged against unknown accused persons with allegation that
theft by breaking lock, almirah etc. has been made at the house
of the informant when he was outside.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits
that the name of the petitioner has been figured in this case by
virtue of confessional statement only. Nothing has been
recovered from the petitioner's possession.



5. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 24.12.2023 having four criminal antecedent and charge-sheet has already been filed in this case.

6. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that in the rejection order, it has been clearly mentioned that the recovery of theft articles, cash etc. has been made from the house of the co-accused who has disclosed the name of the petitioner about his involvement and presence of the petitioner has also been verified from the CDR.

7. Counsel further submits that that the petitioner's antecedent is also not clean. There are four criminal cases pending against him.

8. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected but liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner that he may renew his prayer for bail 3 (three) months after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

