

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52582 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-584 Year-2022 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

MUKESH KUMAR MAHTO SON OF PURAN MAHTO RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- CHANDAULI, PS- TAJPUR (WAINI OP.), SAMASTIPUR,
BIHAR-848101

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Piyush Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akbar Ali

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

7 20-02-2024 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Tajpur P.S. Case No.584 of 2022 registered for the offence
under Sections 341, 323, 325, 354B, 498A, 304B/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is to
have committed murder of the daughter of the informant, due to
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner has falsely been
implicated in the present case. It is submitted that there is eye-
witness in support of the allegations against the petitioner. It is



also submitted that allegation against this petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that other co-accused persons, who is father of the petitioner has already been granted bail by a learned co-ordinate Bench of this Court through Cr. Misc. No.29564 of 2023 dated 04.07.2023. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedent and he is in custody since 04.12.2022.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is husband of the deceased and death of deceased have caused within three years from the date of marriage of the petitioner.

6. In view of the allegation as made against this petitioner and trial is at advance stage as only two non-official witness and one official witness i.e. doctor is remained for examination, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, at present.

7. Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith.

8. Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if not concluded then the petitioner shall



granted bail by the Trial Court itself, if cooperated by the
defence.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

S.Katyayan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

