

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53610 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2024 Thana- GORIAKOTHI District- Siwan

1. Shahid Ali @ Sahid Ali S/o Ali Akhtar R/o Village Heyatpur, P.S. G.B. Nagar, Tarwara, District Siwan
2. Tahir Ansari @ Tahir Ali S/o Ali Akhtar R/o Village Heyatpur, P.S. G.B. Nagar, Tarwara, District Siwan
3. Arman Ansari @ Arman Ali S/o Bhuttu Mian R/o Village Heyatpur, P.S. G.B. Nagar, Tarwara, District Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Javed Aslam
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 31-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Goreyakothi P.S. Case No. 101 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 385, 379, 427, 509, 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners along with other co-accused persons threatened the informant that if he wants to run the shop, he has to give ransom to them. Thereafter, on 09.05.2024, the petitioners along with other co-accused persons including 10-15 persons came and assaulted brother of the informant and when the informant came to save



his brother they also assaulted him. Further, they also tried to snatch the money.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He submits that as per the F.I.R. a general and vague allegation has been attributed against all the four named and ten unknown persons to assault the informant and three other persons without specific overt act. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioners are also involved in the present case and one of the injured person have sustained grievous injury.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of case and the nature of the injury, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

